



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,733	06/29/2001	J. Rob Bowers	14531.110	9135
7590	01/21/2009		EXAMINER	
RICK D. NYDEGGER		VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P		
WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1000 Eagle Gate Tower				2424
60 East South Temple				
Salt Lake City, UT 84111				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/896,733	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOWERS, J. ROB
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL VAN HANDEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2424

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Van Handel. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Tom Bonacci. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Suzuki (US 5,956,488) & McClain et al. (US 6,772,214).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed direction to be taken in amendments to the claims. Applicant proposed amending claims to further clarify access rights and to distinguish the access rights of a user from the access rights of a receiver. The examiner stated that further search and/or consideration would be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chris Kelley/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424